

## NUTRITION AND AGRICULTURAL SECTOR INDICATORS

4.5(10)	20	Total increase in installed storage capacity (m3)
4.5(16,17,18)	21	Gross margin per hectare of selected product
4.5.2(2)	22	Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance
4.5.2(5)	23	Number of farmers and others who have applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance
4.5.2(6)	24	Number of individuals who have received USG supported long-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training
4.5.2(7)	25	Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training
4.5.2(11)	26	Number of food security private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) receiving USG assistance
4.5.2(12)	27	Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of FTF assistance
4.5.2(13)	28	Number of rural households benefiting directly from USG interventions
4.5.2(14)	29	Number of vulnerable households benefiting directly from USG assistance
4.5.2(23)	30	Value of incremental sales (collected at farm-level) attributed to FTF implementation
4.5.2(29)	31	Value of Agricultural and Rural Loans

4.5.2(30)	32	Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving USG assistance to access loans
4.5.2(36)	33	Value of exports of targeted agricultural commodities as a result of USG assistance (for bilateral missions)
4.5.2(37)	34	Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving business development services from USG assisted sources
4.5.2(38)	35	Value of new private sector investment in the agriculture sector or food chain leveraged by FTF implementation
4.5.2(39)	36	Number of new technologies or management practices in one of the following phases of development: (Phase I/II/III)
4.5.2(42)	37	Number of private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance
4.5.2(43)	38	Number of firms (excluding farms) or CSOs engaged in agricultural and food security-related manufacturing and services now operating more profitably (at or above cost) because of USG assistance
4.5.1(CBL D 5)	39	Score, in percent, of combined key areas of organization capacity amongst USG direct and indirect local implementing partners

43	USAID/T (custom, calculated from FTFMS reporting) yield of rice, maize, horticulture
<b>CUSTOM INDICATORS COLLECTED BY IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS</b>	
73	Number and value of buyer agreements (informal or formal)
74	MT of paddy, rice or maize sold by producer associations
75	Value of savings accumulated by SILC groups under NAFKA
76	Number of targeted beneficiaries reached
77	Number of beneficiaries with home gardens or alternate crops as a proxy for access to nutritious foods and income